

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,521	07/28/2003	Wing-Kwong Keung	17853 USA 5164	
	7590 02/07/2008	EXAMINER		
	L OWENS WAY, THRE	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
PERRYSBURG, OH 43551-2999		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3781	
•		,·		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,521	KEUNG, WING-KWONG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 № 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under € 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	· •
Disposition of Claims	·	
 4)	1 29-39 is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplication and accomplication and accomplished to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	•	,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 31-39 and claims 6,7,14,15,21-23,29, and 30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, respectively, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 1, 2005.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3781

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1,10,16,25, and 43 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,4,9,13, and 21 of copending Application No. 11/285,597. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims each define a child-resistant dispensing closure that includes: a base and a lid molded integrally with said base, said lid being coupled by a hinge to said base so as to be pivotable between a closed position and an open position, one of said base and said lid having a latch arm resiliently extending from a periphery opposite said hinge, said latch arm having a pair of oppositely extending tabs adjacent to a free end of said latch arm, the other of said base and said lid having an external recess for receiving said latch arm and a pair of laterally spaced ledges in said recess for engagement by said tabs to lock said lid in said closed position, said latch arm in said recess being directly manually engageable by a user from a radial direction external to said closure to pivot said latch arm radially inwardly within said recess and release said tabs from said ledges so that said lid can be pivoted toward said open position, said tabs on said latch arm and said ledges in said recess being recessed radially inwardly from an outer surface of said latch arm in said closed position of said lid with said tabs engaged beneath said ledges, said lid has a peripheral skirt, said latch arm being coupled to said peripheral skirt of said lid. The instant claims further set forth the cam surfaces on the ledges, a deck on the base and/or the latch arm as being T-shaped, while the claims of the co-pending application more broadly set forth the invention without such descriptors/structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to set forth the child-resistant closure with more structure to more clearly set forth the invention.

Art Unit: 3781

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1,10,16,25, and 40 appear to overcome the art of record. The claims are not allowed in view of the non-statutory double patenting rejection set forth above.
- 5. Claims 2,3,5,11-13,17-20,24,26-28,40,41, and 43 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without

Application/Control Number: 10/628,521 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 10. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

▼	respondence for Application Serial No fice via fax number 571-273-8300 on the	
Typed or printed name of p	erson signing this certificate	
Signature		
Date	•	·

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner

Art Unit: 3781

can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH February 3, 2008

> /Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781